5

6

8

9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DAVID WILSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

AIRBORNE, INC., AIRBORNE)
HEALTH, INC., KNIGHTMCDOWELL LABS, THOMAS

"RIDER" MCDOWELL, VICTORIA KNIGHT-MCDOWELL, and DOES 1-

100, inclusive,

v.

Defendants.

Case No. EDCV 07-770-VAP (OPx)

[Motion filed on August 29, 2007]

ORDER (1) GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND (2) SETTING SCHEDULE FOR FINAL APPROVAL

The Court has reviewed and considered all papers filed in support of the Joint Motion for Preliminary Approval of Settlement ("Joint Motion"). These include memoranda in support of the Joint Motion filed by Plaintiff and Defendants on August 29, 2007; (2) the declaration of Plaintiff's counsel Melissa M. Harnett, filed on August 31, 2007, and attaching a copy of the settlement agreement; and (3) declarations of Defendants'

counsel Gayle E. Rosenstein filed on August 29, August 31, and September 11, 2007.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

After the Court requested additional information concerning the parties' proposed settlement, the parties submitted the following materials on or about October 1, 2007, which the Court has reviewed and considered: (1) a Mediator's Report, describing the parties' settlement discussions during a day-long mediation session on May 31, 2007; (2) the declaration of Plaintiff's counsel Stephen Gardner, explaining, inter alia, the evolution of Plaintiff's settlement position concerning injunctive relief; (3) the declaration of Jeffrey L. Fazio concerning the adequacy of proposed class counsel; (4) the declaration of Ms. Harnett concerning the adequacy of proposed class counsel; (5) the declaration of Elise Donahue, the Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Defendant Airborne Health, Inc.; and (6) the declaration of Defendants' counsel Kenneth L. Steinthal. Plaintiff filed an additional declaration from Ms. Harnett on October 2, 2007, summarizing the course of discovery and the development of the parties' settlement agreement. Pursuant to an Order dated October 22, 2007, an additional declaration by Mr. Fazio was filed under seal; it described in particular his analysis of revenue data obtained from Defendants and provided examples of changes made by Defendants to their product packaging.

Having reviewed and considered these submissions, as well as the arguments advanced by counsel at the hearing on September 24, 2007, the Court finds there is a sufficient basis to grant preliminary approval of the parties' proposed settlement. The Court also finds a sufficient basis to disseminate notice of the proposed settlement to class members, and to authorize steps necessary to determine whether the proposed settlement should be approved finally, whether a class should be certified finally for settlement purposes, and whether this case should be dismissed.

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the Joint Motion and further makes the following findings and orders:

1. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Action under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).

2. The Court preliminarily certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Settlement Class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defined as follows:

25 ///

///

¹Capitalized terms appearing in this Order are defined terms under the parties' settlement agreement, which is incorporated by reference.

2007, except the following:

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

assigns;

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(a) the Corporate Defendants, their predecessors, successors, and their current and former directors, officers, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, affiliates, associates, consultants, advisers, parents, and subsidiaries, as well as the Individual Defendants and their heirs, spouses, executors, administrators, agents, successors, attorneys, consultants, advisers, representatives or

All Persons who purchased any flavor or formulation

of any Product in the United States or any of its

Territories from May 1, 2001 through November 29,

- (b) persons who have settled with and validly released Defendants from separate, non-class legal actions against Defendants based on the conduct alleged in the Second Amended Complaint filed in the Action, if any;
- (c) persons who have purchased the Product for resale;
- (d) any person who has timely and validly elected to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class pursuant to paragraphs 15 and 16, below; and

(e) the judge presiding over this matter.

- 3. The Court appoints Plaintiff David Wilson to serve as Class Representative;
- 4. The Court appoints the following law firms to serve as Class Counsel: Wasserman, Comden & Casselman LLP; Fazio | Micheletti LLP; and Center for Science in the Public Interest.
- 5. Neither the preliminary certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, nor any other act relating to the negotiation, execution, or implementation of the Settlement Agreement, shall be considered as a factor in connection with any class certification issue(s) if the Settlement Agreement terminates or Final Settlement Approval does not occur.
- 6. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement, and the settlement contemplated thereby, as being a fair, reasonable and adequate settlement as to all members of the Settlement Class, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 7. Solely for the purposes of effectuating the Settlement, the Court further preliminarily finds as follows: joinder of all Settlement Class Members in a

single proceeding would be impracticable, if not impossible, because of their numbers and dispersion; the Class Representative and Class Counsel have adequately prosecuted the claims of the lawsuit; no conflict exists between the Class Representative or Class Counsel and the Settlement Class; the Class Representative and Class Counsel are adequate representatives for the Settlement Class; the Class Representative's claims are typical of the Settlement Class; the Class Representative is a member of the Settlement Class and has claims representative of the claims and defenses presented in this case; commonality is satisfied in this case for settlement purposes as a number of common issues exist among Settlement Class Members; common issues predominate over individual issues in the context of settlement; and certification of an agreed-upon settlement class is a superior mechanism for resolving these claims.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

8. The foregoing preliminary findings and preliminary and conditional certification that this Action may be maintained for settlement purposes only as a class action, and the appointment of Class Counsel, shall be without force or effect if: (a) the Court does not give final approval to the Settlement Agreement and enter judgment as contemplated therein; or (b) the ///

Court's approval of the Settlement Agreement and/or entry of a final approval order and judgment are reversed or modified on appeal.

4

5

6

7

8

9

3

1

9. The parties entered into the Settlement Agreement in good faith, following arms-length negotiation by counsel, including a mediation session with the Hon. Richard L. Patsey, a retired California Superior Court judge.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10. The Court gives its approval to the form and procedures for disseminating the Notices to the Settlement Class Members in substantially the same form as that which appears in Exhibit B to the Settlement The Court finds that the Notices to be Agreement. given constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice via electronic mail and, where necessary, by first-class mail to those Settlement Class Members for whom the Defendants have contact information and by published notice as described in the Settlement Agreement at Exhibit B. The Court finds that the proposed notice plan fully satisfies Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances. ///

26

25

27 ///

The Court directs that notice be given pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, provided that the parties, by agreement, may revise the Notices and Claim Form in ways that are not material, or in ways that are appropriate to update those documents for purposes of accuracy.

11. The Court finds that Defendants properly and timely notified the appropriate state and federal officials of the Settlement Agreement, as required by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715. The Court has reviewed the Defendants' notices and accompanying materials, and finds that they complied with the applicable requirements of CAFA. Defendants also have provided a copy of these submissions to Class Counsel.

12. Rust Consulting is appointed Claims

Administrator to supervise and administer the settlement notice and claims process, as more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

13. The Court preliminarily determines that the plan of distribution in Sections 2 through 4 of the Settlement Agreement fairly and adequately addresses the matters of settlement administration, claims submission,

and allocation of monetary payments among Settlement Class Members.

5

14.

Settlement Fund or Net Settlement Fund unless expressly authorized by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

No funds may be disbursed from the Gross

- 15. Settlement Class Members who or which want to seek payment from the Settlement Fund shall do so in the manner set forth in the instructions accompanying the Claim Form (which are reflected in the Settlement Agreement). Unless the Court orders otherwise, Claim Forms must be submitted in compliance with the instructions provided to Settlement Class Members, which are set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Valid Claims shall be paid in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Any Settlement Class Member shall have the right to opt out of the Settlement Class by sending a written request for exclusion from the Settlement Class to the addresses listed in the Notices, postmarked no later than Monday, May 12, 2008. To be effective, the request for exclusion (or opt-out request) must: (a) be signed by that member of the Settlement Class; (b) include that person's full name and current address; and (c) include a statement identical or substantially

similar to the following: "I request to be excluded from the Settlement Class in <u>Wilson v. Airborne. Inc., et al.,</u>
No. EDCV 07-770 VAP (OPx)."

17. No request for exclusion will be valid unless the request is sent timely and in substantial compliance with the requirements of the preceding paragraph. If a timely and valid request for exclusion is made by a member of the Settlement Class, then that member will not be entitled to participate in the Settlement and cannot object to the Settlement.

18. All Class Members (whether or not he/she submits a Claim Form) who have not validly excluded themselves from the Settlement Class shall be bound by all determinations and judgments concerning the Settlement Agreement and the settlement contemplated thereby. Within twenty (20) business days after the Court-ordered deadline for timely and properly opting out from the Settlement Class, the Claims Administrator shall provide to the Parties' Counsel the names and addresses of the Class Members who or which timely and properly have opted out of the Settlement Class as permitted by the Court, as well as the total number of such persons.

19. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to object to the fairness of the Settlement Agreement must

do so (either personally or through an attorney), by filing a written objection, together with any supporting written or documentary materials with the Clerk of the Court, postmarked on or before Monday, May 19, 2008. Any such written notice of objection must include: (a) a statement that the person objecting is a Settlement Class Member; (b) his or her complete name, current address, and telephone number (or, if represented by an attorney, the Settlement Class Member's complete name, and the name, current address, and telephone number of the Settlement Class Member's attorney); (c) a statement of the Settlement Class Member's specific objections to any such matter, and an explanation of the grounds for those objections; and (d) all documents that the Settlement Class Member desires the Court to consider.

This written objection must also be served by hand, overnight mail, or by first-class mail, postmarked no later than Monday, May 19, 2008, on the Claims Administrator, as set forth in the Notices. The Claims Administrator shall promptly, and in any event within one business day after receipt, provide copies of such written objections to the Parties' Counsel.

Any Settlement Class Member who or which does not make his, her, or its objection in the manner provided for herein shall be deemed to have waived such objection

and shall be foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the proposed settlement contemplated by the Settlement Agreement, the issue of the allocation of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members, or the issues of any Fee and Expense Award and/or the Incentive Award to Representative Plaintiff. Any Settlement Class Member who does not file a timely objection that includes the foregoing required information shall be foreclosed from objecting to the Settlement Agreement and shall be foreclosed from seeking any adjudication or review of the Settlement Agreement by appeal or otherwise.

20. All further proceedings in the Litigation (including, but not limited to, any existing discovery obligations) are ordered stayed until Final Settlement Approval or termination of the Settlement Agreement, whichever occurs earlier, except for those matters necessary to obtain and/or effectuate Final Settlement Approval.

21. A hearing on entry of a Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal (the "Fairness Hearing") will be held on Monday, June 16, 2008, at 10 a.m., in Courtroom 2 at the United States District Court for the Central District of California, 3470 Twelfth Street, Riverside. At the Fairness Hearing the Court will also consider: whether

the settlement on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved by the Court; the amount of attorneys' fees and expenses that should be awarded to Settlement Class Counsel; and whether an incentive payment to the Representative Plaintiff should be awarded, and, if so, the amount of that award.

22. The date and time of the Fairness Hearing shall be set forth in, inter alia, the Notices. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Fairness Hearing. In the event the date is changed, that information shall be posted on the website maintained by the Claims Administrator, and the parties shall not be required to re-send or re-publish the Notices.

23. The Parties' Counsel shall file memoranda or other materials in support of the final approval of the Settlement Agreement, including any response to a timely and properly filed Objection no later than Friday, May 30, 2008.

24. On or before Monday, May 12, 2008, Class Counsel may apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees and costs. At such time, Class Counsel may also submit an application for an incentive award to the

Plaintiff, to be paid by the Defendants and not to exceed \$10,000.

25. Following the Fairness Hearing and based upon the entire record in this matter, the Court will decide whether the Settlement Class should be certified, whether the Settlement Agreement should be approved and, if so, what attorneys' fees and cost award should be awarded to Class Counsel and whether an incentive award should be awarded to the Plaintiff, and, if so, in what amount.

at the Fairness Hearing either in person or through counsel, the Settlement Class Member must file with the Clerk of the Court and serve upon the Claims Administrator at the address specified in the Notice a Notice of Intention to Appear, postmarked no later than Monday, June 2, 2008. The Notice of Intention to Appear must include copies of any papers, exhibits, or other evidence or information the Settlement Class Member and/or his or her counsel will present to the Court at the Fairness Hearing. Any Settlement Class Member and/or his or her attorney who does not file a timely Notice of ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

Intention to Appear in accordance with these provisions may be foreclosed from speaking at the Fairness Hearing Dated: 112907 VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS United States District June	
3 4 5 6 Dated: 11 29 07	;
Dated: 11 29 07 Virginia A. Phillips United States District Jud 8	١.
5 6 Dated: 11 29 07 7 VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS United States District Juc 8	
Dated: 11 29 07 Figure Confidence 7 VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS United States District Judge 8	
VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS United States District Juc	
7 United States District Jud 8	
	lge
9	
1	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 5:07-cv-00770-VAP-OP Document 117 Filed 11/29/07 Page 15 of 15